

SVEN BUDER (MPIA HEIDELBERG) & THE GALAH SURVEY COLLABORATION

BUDER@MPIA.DE, @ASTRO SVEN

In June 2019 in a galaxy that we call our Galaxy...

What intel on stars do we need to gather?

Composition (M, [X/H], ...)



Orbits (r,v)

Episode MMXIX THE RETURN OF THE GALAH SURVEY (DR3)

Chemical Composition from Spectra



The information on the (chemical) composition of a star is hidden in its spectrum!

"Model-driven" stellar properties from spectra



Spectrum synthesis based on atmosphere models

(molecular+ionisation equilibrium, continuous+line opacities, radiative transfer)

 χ^2 optimisation of stellar parameters and element abundances

Sven Buder (MPIA)

for GALAH: SME by Piskunov & Valenti (2017)

"Data-driven" stellar properties from spectra

Use linear algebra (e.g. quadratic model) to construct spectral flux **f** from stellar labels *l* (temperature, metallicity, ...)

$$\mathbf{f}_{n,\lambda} = \Theta_{\lambda}^T \cdot l_n + \text{noise}$$



 l_n fixed, train Θ_{λ} Θ_{λ} fixed, optimise l_n in 0.1s Sven Buder (MPIA) For GALAH: *The Cannon* by Ness et al. 2015

Post Gaia DR2: At the sweet spot of Gaia



$$\log\left(\frac{L_{\rm bol}}{L_{\rm bol,\odot}}\right) = 0.4 \cdot \left(M_{\rm bol,\odot} - M_{\rm bol}\right)$$

$$\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{bol},\odot}} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}_{\odot}} \cdot \frac{g_{\odot}}{g}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff},\odot}}\right)^4$$

Post Gaia DR2: At the sweet spot of Gaia



$$\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}_{\odot}}\right) - \log\left(\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{bol},\odot}}\right) + 4 \cdot \log\left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff},\odot}}\right) + \log g_{\odot} = \log g$$



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: ~343k stars GALAH DR3: ~650k stars



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: Using SME for ~10k stars + *The Cannon* GALAH DR3: Using SME for all stars first



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: Constrain log *g* from spectroscopy GALAH DR3: Constrain log *g* from M, T_{eff}, L_{bol}



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: Possible dependence on training set GALAH DR3: No data-driven limits on extrapolation



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: [α/Fe] from [Mg/Fe], [Si/Fe], [Ca/Fe], [Ti/Fe] GALAH DR3: [α/Fe] from individual Mg, Si, Ca, Ti lines



What has changed?

GALAH DR2: [X/Fe] simultaneous for all lines of X GALAH DR3: [X/Fe] individually and then combined

See also *Gaia*-ESO analysis papers, GBS papers (Jofre+) & Hawkins+2014



What has changed?

Better line selection



What has changed?

1D non-LTE for K (Reggiani) Updates 1D non-LTE for 11 elements (Amarsi, Lind)



What has changed?

Better line selection







What has changed?

No dependence on training set

GALAH+GAIA: CHEMODYNAMICS!



GALAH+GAIA: CHEMODYNAMICS!









see also Schuster+2012, Belokurov+2018, Mackereth+2019



How old are the accreted stars?

Our study: old + coeval w/ old disk Gallart+2019: old



Das+2019: young + gradient

Schuster+2012: high-α halo 2-3 Gyr older than low-α halo



572 spectra (132 with sufficient quality/flags)



GALAH DR3 vs. APOGEE DR16

24348 spectra (9989 with sufficient quality/flags)



GALAH DR3 vs. APOGEE DR16



GALAH DR3 vs. APOGEE DR16



Take away

Spectroscopic surveys are making immense progress!





GALAH DR2 was quite OK, GALAH DR3 will be better+more: more stars, better accuracy, more+better abundances

